

PURO.EARTH OUTPUT AUDIT REPORT

Bussme Biochar AB | Munka-Ljungby & Svedala

Puro Biochar Methodology Edition 2022 (Version 3 published on 1.2.2024) and General Rules (Version 3.1)

Audit Start - End date: 26.11.2024 - 21.2.2025

Project Number: PRJN-701042

DNV Team: Hedwige Serot, Heidi Käkelä CO₂ sink Sector (Puro Scheme): Biochar



Table of contents

INTRODUCTION	3
DNV	3
PRODUCTION FACILITY STANDING DATA (PURO GENERAL RULES BIOCHAR METHODOLOGY)	4
GENERAL INFORMATION	4
SHORT DESCRIPTION OF FACILITY AND ANY EXCLUSIONS FROM VERIFICATION SCOPE OBSERVED	5
STATEMENT OF CONFIDENTIALITY	
DISCLAIMER	5
AUDIT RESULTS	
Detailed output removal verified	6
Positive indications	6
RECOMMENDATIONS FOR IMPROVEMENT	6
AUDIT FINDINGS	7
DETAILED FINDINGS REQUIRING CORRECTIVE ACTIONS.	7
CONCLUSION	7

Attachments:

ATTACHMENT 1 Biochar methodology – Output Audit requirements and verification results



Introduction

This report summarises the results and conclusions from the performed output audit. The audit is performed as a formal part of the Puro.earth certification process. The key objective is to determine the compliance of the operations with the Puro requirements.

DNV

DNV is one of the world's leading certification, assurance, and risk management providers.

Whether certifying a company's management system or products, providing training, or assessing supply chains, and digital assets, we enable customers and stakeholders to make critical decisions with confidence.

We are committed to support our customers to transition and realize their long-term strategic goals sustainably, collectively contributing to the UN Sustainable Development Goals.



Production facility standing data (PURO General rules Biochar Methodology)

General information

Facility unique identity	SE559276670201
	Facility IDs • Munka-Ljungby: 299495 • Svedala: 665890
CO2 Removal Supplier registering the Production Facility	GSRN number 643002406801000206 (Munka- Ljungby)
	GSRN number 643002406801000190 (Svedala)
Name	Bussme Biochar AB
Location	Lärlingsgatan 4, 266 35 Munka-Ljungby and Bäckgatan 4, 233 44 Svedala, Sweden
Date on which the Production Facility became eligible to receive CORCs	31.03.2021
Volume of Output during the Period	Shipped eligible production volume:
	- Munka-Ljungby 478,5 m3 (1.1.2023-31.1.2024)
	- Svedala 1832 m3 (1.1.2023-29.2.2024)
Removal Method(s) for which the plant is eligible to receive CORCs	Biochar
Production Facility has benefited from public support	No
Removal Method specific information as may be specified in the relevant Removal Method specific Methodology	Biochar, Pyrolysis process



Page 5 of 7

Short description of facility and any exclusions from verification scope observed Running pyrolysis process with process control in both facilities

Statement of confidentiality

The contents of this report, including any notes and checklists completed during the audit will be treated in strictest confidence, and will not be disclosed to any third party without the written consent of the customer, except as required by the appropriate accreditation authorities.

Disclaimer

An audit is based on verification of a sample of available information. Consequently, there is an element of uncertainty reflected in the audit findings. An absence of nonconformities does not mean that they do not exist in audited and/or other areas. Prior to awarding or renewing certification this report is also subject to an independent DNV internal review which may affect the report content and conclusions.



Audit results

Detailed output removal verified

SUMMARY AND OUTPUT CALCULATION	Munka-Ljungby	Svedala	
Period	1.1.2023-31.1.2024	1.1.2023-29.2.2024	 8 8
Shipped eligible production volume	478,5	1832	m3
E _{stored}	2,8385	3,1277	mt CO2 eq / mt biochar (dry)
E _{biomass}	0,1538	0,1755	mt CO2 eq / mt biochar (dry)
E _{production}	0,0780	0,0630	mt CO2 eq / mt biochar (dry)
E _{use}	0,0598	0,1000	mt CO2 eq / mt biochar (dry)
CORC factor	2,5470	2,7891	mt CO2 eq / mt biochar (dry)
TOTAL number of CORCS	88,38	414,49	CORCs

For Svedala, the initially reported CORCs before the adjustment made by Bussme based on the findings of the audit was 421,24.

Positive indications

- Bussme seems to collaborate and communicate quite well with stakeholders. The team collects data from operators daily for the most part, data gathered is rather comprehensive. Bussme reports monthly to Puro
- Bussme's approach was very open and transparent. The team readily answered all questions during the audit and promptly responded to further requests, such as providing additional documents. The LCA report was also well detailed and justified overall (listing of factors used, assumptions taken, etc.).

Recommendations for improvement

- A few human errors were identified in the review, due to the manual data collection and management process. In addition, there is no formal and periodic quality check process in place for input data.
- The same goes for the manual LCA modelling. A few errors and omissions were found during verification: (1) plastic packaging for Munka-Ljungby linked to Svedala data and was thus quite overestimated; (2) life cycle phase 3 for Svedala only accounted for deliveries and not end-of-life; (3) emissions from the lifecycle of the Pyrolysis chamber was omitted in life cycle stage 2 emissions for both sites; and (4) shipped production volume was inaccurate for Svedala, resulting in a material finding (7% lower CORCs for the initial period assessed). Apart from the later, the impact on LCA results was small (below 5%) and negligible on CORCs (below 1%).
- Certain methodological choices, assumptions and reasonings could be further explained in the LCA report for clarity of alignment with Puro's methodology, transparency, and conformity with ISO 14044 (as detailed in Attachment 1).
- Currently, the "corresponding amount of biochar" used in LCA calculations relates to biochar produced and not biochar sold data (e.g. for life cycle phase 3 emissions). Though this had a negligible impact, it can lead to inaccurate results.
- Bussme used a different breakdown for the system boundary graph and result presentation for all life cycle phases in the LCA report: this is not optimal for ease of review and data consistency.
- For a smoother verification process, the LCA expert should be available at the time of the audit.



Audit findings

Detailed findings requiring corrective actions

As per Puro's methodology, the CORCs result from biochar production activity over a given reporting period (notably stated in *section 4. Calculation methodology for the quantification of CO₂ removal*). The auditor found a discrepancy between reported production and shipped volumes for the Svedala site that were not aligned with the considered reporting period. As this finding had a material impact on resulting CORCs (7%), a major non-compliance was raised.

Puro stated that exceptionally, should no additional documentation be required, then the period could be extended to cover February for Svedala. Bussme confirmed that no additional documentation was required as data provided already included shipped volumes and there was no production in February 2024. A material error remained (2% impact on CORCs): Bussme thus revised the CORCs calculation, and the auditor was able to close the finding.

Conclusion

Conclusion	
The company is found compliant towards CORC requirement, and a	X
certificate can be issued	
The company is found NOT to be fully compliant towards CORC	
requirement and corrective actions are needed before a certificate	
can be issued	

ATTACHMENT 1

Biochar Methodology Requirements and verification results

Company:
Facility address:
Date:
Auditor:
Participants:

Bussme Blochar AB [Munkal_Jungby and Svedala sites Lärlingsgatan 4, 266 35 Munka-Ljungby and Bieldgatan 4, 233 44 Svedala, Sweden 120, 220, 230 Hedwige Sent (DNV) Carra Walle and Marie Nilsson (Bussne)

Module	Evidence piece	Associated requirements	Verification method & source	Verification remarks	Compliance
Records of biomass used	Sustainability certificates of biomass	[14,1,2, '15,2,1]	Document check (email extracts, no certificates provided)	The CORC summary state that "LIDR's raw material is certified PSC/PS", and the LOA report makes mention of "wengs wood from stath from sustainable forest management in Sweden". As evidence, Bessme has provided email export if from suppliers declaring that their biomass comes from sustainable sources. Following the audit, Bussme shared the PFTC certificate of one of their suppliers (sustathoog).	Yes
	Records of biomass used	[r5.2.1]	Document check & interview with Bussme	Bussne use wookhips from secondary sources.	Yes
Records of biochar produced	Production data for entire audited period	[15,3,1]	Document check (Datainsamling file, CORC summary, LCA data) & interview with Bussme	No external fivel is used to start the reactor for binchar production. Pyrolysis gases are fully combinated in the process. Data is collected manually: this presents a risk of manual errors and misstatements (a few non-material ones where identified during the review).	SS.
	Biochar environmental quality analysis	[*1.1.7, 'r5.3.4']	Document check (laboratory analysis) & interview with Bussme	Data was checked against EDC & Swedshir requirements (for heavy metals & DAH content). Bussne asks operators to follow its safety instructions for blochar handling (the procedure document was shared after the audit). This is also mentioned on invoices.	Yes
	Biochar elemental analysis	[r1.1.6, 'r5.3.3']	Document check (laboratory analysis, CORC summary)	WC ratio is 0.18 for Munka-Ljungby, 0.05 for Sweldia. Testing is done yearly for the EBC certification, as Bussme considers the blochar to not vary over season.	Yes
	Calibration certificates for measuring devices	[r1,2,4', r5,3,1', r5,3,5']	Interview with Bussme (no evidence provided)	Bussne uses "a very simple scale to just weigh the blochair before and after the oven, there has not been any calibration for that".	Yes
Records of biochar used	Sales invoices with explicit mentions about CO2 removal claims		Document check (sale invoice)	The invoice makes mention that the biachair is sold without carbon sink.	Yes
	Evidence that end-use has taken place	[11.1.1], 15.4.2]	Document check (sale invoice)	No indicated (required intended tast to customers, but Bazarre scalety sells biocher to soal producers who had in in their minures/blends. Only one invoice was provided as evidence: Bazarre provided more as requested by the auditor (sample based on materiality).	Yes
	Branding claims on packaging, product data sheets, website	[r5.5.2b1]	Interview with Bussme (no evidence provided)	Nothing is put directly on the packaging - too costly, and the majority of products are sold in bulk.	Yes
Updated LCA calculations & supporting data	Updated LCA calculations & supporting data Updated life cycle assessment data for Output	[r1.1.3', r3.1', r3.2', r3.3', r5.2.2',	Document check (LCA data and study report)	Net-negativity is demonstrated with results from the cradie-to-grave LCA of the biochar (including disaggregated information on the emissions at different stages for CO2).	Yes
	אחחוני			The LCA modeling is done manually, this presents risks of human errors and mistratements, several non-material ones (e.g., data format, inaccurate formulas) were identified and raised during and active formulas) were identified and raised during the seven more important to reduce such risks. For ease of re-envex and consistency, you could consider keeping the same breakdown between your system boundary graph and result presentation for all life cycle phases in the LCA report (and related calculation flie).	
				A couple key findings are outlined below: A couple key findings are outlined below: Finding to the couple for the couple for the couple for the couple for the period here is 13 months. Furthermore, these emissions were committed in the LCA results (in life cycle phase 2 principles), which would increase by SSMs for Munha Lightly and TSM for Seedals. Flexic packaging emissions for Munha Lightly were coversimented as Seedals date was taken increased it should be 28 cross emissions for Munha Lightly were coversimented as Seedals date was taken increased it should be 28 for the period is less againficant however: cases they shower for Munha-Lightly and 28. Higher for Seedals. The resulting impact on total COXe emissions for the period is less againficant however: researched-Mass and XX.	
				Corresponding mounted facility—that of recidentials in the LCA model/carel in seaso of because the conducted from all carels in shough because none accurate for some placks are removed to the conduction of the	
				The methodology, reasoning and assumptions could be fur there equilated to partitle for certain aspects in the LCs report and/or LCs cloculation file (e.g. frequency of this analysis, soil and the contraction of the country of the	
				Finally, regarding allocations: given monthly data for blochair production and electricity is available, it would be more accurate to consider doing a monthly allocation of energy consumption in production, such as electricity (instead of using a yearly average).	
	CORC Report Summary	['r5.3.2b']	Document check (CORC report summary)	The impact of errors and omnissions identified in the LCA calculation (relating to E _{production} and E _{sua}) is negligible on total number of CORCs for the period.	Yes
				For Swedial however, two additional findings were raised regarding the shipped production volume eligible for CORGs, which was overestimated - 1) a credit to consumer of -31,5m3 was sometimated to folker, produced although outside the period). These let to a significant difference on the number of CORGs (7%). Protostated that the period could be extended to cover February and Bussome revised the CORGs (eligible shipped production volume) to address the first finding, which still had a material impact (2%) on resulting CORGs.	